

Prepared by Elaine Mills, Extension Master Gardener

Species: Genetically distinct plant form found in the wild in a particular geographic region

Cultivar: **Cult**ivated **vari**ety named and introduced into the horticulture trade
Can be a “discovery” of a naturally occurring mutation within a species
Can be produced through selective breeding for desired traits

Hybrid: New plant resulting from cross-pollination between two species in the same genus

Trials of Woody Plants

Baisden/Tallamy Study

Baisden, Emily C., et al. [“Do Cultivars of Native Plants Support Insect Herbivores?”](#)
HortTechnology, October 2018, Volume 28: Issue 5, pages 596-606

Measured effect of 6 traits of trees and shrubs on insect feeding preference:

[Impact of leaf color change](#) (in species/cultivar pairs)

Species with unchanged leaf color = best choice as larval host plants for butterflies & moths

[Impact of intense fall color](#)

Species with unchanged fall color = best choice as larval host plants

[Impact of changed growth habit, disease resistance, variegated foliage, enhanced fruit size](#)

Mixed results, but **cultivars judged to be acceptable to insects as larval host plants**

Additional notes relating to study:

For possible negative effect of changed growth habit (size), see
[“Which birds feed at which height?”](#)

For possible negative effect of enhanced fruit size on birds, see
Avery, Michael L., et al. [“Handling Efficiency and Berry Size Preferences of Cedar Waxwings”](#). *Wilson Bull.*, 104(4), 1993, pp. 604-611



Virginia Cooperative Extension
Virginia Tech • Virginia State University

Mt. Cuba Hydrangea Trial & University of Delaware Pollinator Study

“Wild Hydrangea for the Mid-Atlantic Region”

- Lacecap cultivars preferred over mopheads for pollinator visitation
- **Species, *Hydrangea arborescens*, generally preferred; only outranked by ‘Dardom’ cultivar**
- NOTE: Pollinator profiles vary between species and cultivars
- **Difficult to predict effect of changes on pollinators & to make recommendations**

Trials of Herbaceous Plants

Penn State Extension

“Bees, Bugs & Blooms - A Pollinator Trial”

Evaluation of native plant species & cultivars for attracting pollinators

Straight species preferred or equally visited by pollinators

- ✓ Wild Bergamot (*Monarda fistulosa*)
- ✗ ‘Claire Grace’: naturally occurring cultivar from Tylertown, MS; disease-resistant
- ✓ New England Aster (*Symphyotrichum novae-angliae*)
- ✗ ‘Purple Dome’: discovered near Allentown, PA; compact, mounded form
- ✓ Foxglove Beardtongue (*Penstemon digitalis*)
- ✗ ‘Husker Red’: developed at U of NE; maroon-colored foliage, flowers w/pink blush
- ✓ Sundrops (*Oenothera fruticosa*)
- ✗ ‘Fireworks’: developed in Norway; compact, purple foliage, red stems & flower buds
- ✓ Obedient Plant (*Physostegia virginiana*)
- ✓ ‘Vivid’: origin unclear; compact, floriferous, long-blooming



Cultivar preferred by pollinators

☒ Scarlet Beebalm (*Monarda didyma*)

☒ 'Jacob Cline': discovered near Blue Ridge Parkway; vigorous, resistant to powdery mildew

☒ Oxeye (*Heliopsis helianthoides*)

☒ 'Summer Sun': introduced by breeder in Germany; compact w/ double, daisy-like flowers

☒ Smooth Aster (*Symphyotrichum laeve*)

☒ 'Bluebird': discovered in Guilford, CT garden; abundant flowers, attractive foliage

☒ Aromatic Aster (*Symphyotrichum oblongifolium*)

☒ 'Raydon's Favorite': introduced in 1992; mounded appearance, outstanding floral display

☒ 'October Skies': bushier, bluer flowers

☒ Threadleaf Coreopsis (*Coreopsis verticillata*)

☒ 'Moonbeam': hybrid cross (*C. verticillata* x *C. rosea*); compact, pale-yellow flowers

☒ 'Zagreb': developed in Croatia; compact w/ dense foliage; uniform habit

Conclusion:

Not possible to generalize that the cultivar is better or poorer than the species.

See [Top Plant Picks](#) & PowerPoint slides on top-rated plants for total and diverse pollinator visits



Annie White Study

White, Annie S., [*From Nursery to Nature: Evaluating Native Herbaceous Flowering Plants versus Native Cultivars for Pollinator Habitat Restoration*](#), PhD Dissertation, University of Vermont, 2016

Evaluated differences between native wildflowers & cultivars in supporting pollinators

Strong preference for species over cultivars bred for ornamental appearance

- ✓ Yarrow (*Achillea millefolium*)

✗ 'Strawberry Seduction': breeding program for unique flower colors w/long bloom duration
(Red less attractive to bees)
- ✓ Anise Hyssop (*Agastache foeniculum*)

✗ 'Golden Jubilee': cultivar of *A. foeniculum* or *A. rugosa*; chartreuse foliage, lavender flowers
(Both visited by bees; changed foliage color a deterrent to beetles)
- ✓ Blue Wild Indigo (*Baptisia australis*)

✗ *Baptisia* x *varicolor* 'Twilite'; patented bicolor hybrid (*B. australis* x *B. sphaerocarpa*)
(Decreased visitation the result of color change or possibly reduced nectar and pollen)
- ✓ Helen's Flower (*Helenium autumnale*)

✗ 'Moerheim Beauty': hybrid of *H. autumnale* & *H. biglovii*; shorter stature, earlier bloom
(Reddish to burnt-orange coloration less attractive to bees)
- ✓ New England Aster (*Symphyotrichum novae-angliae*)

✗ 'Alma Pötschke': bred in Germany, may be a hybrid; more compact, magenta flowers
(All pollinator types preferred species)
- ✓ Ohio Spiderwort (*Tradescantia ohiensis*)

✗ 'Red Grape': hybrid from multiple crosses between three native species; magenta flowers



(All pollinators preferred species with more abundant flowers)

Additional pairings

✓ Wild Bergamot (*Monarda fistulosa*)

✗ 'Claire Grace': selection from MS; drought tolerance & resistance to powdery mildew

(Decreased hardiness of cultivar in northern climates)

✓ Foxglove Beardtongue (*Penstemon digitalis*)

✗ 'Husker Red': cultivar with red foliage, flowers w/pink blush

(Honeybees showed preference for species, but not overall)

✓ Orange Coneflower (*Rudbeckia fulgida*)

✓ 'Goldsturm': hybrid developed in Germany; compact, shorter bloom duration

(Half of visits from flies; equal visits from all pollinators)

✗ Butterfly-weed (*Asclepias tuberosa*)

✓ 'Hello Yellow': naturally occurring mutation [per Piedmont MGs]; bright yellow flowers

(Plant form & bloom time identical)

✗ Culver's-root (*Veronicastrum virginicum*)

✓ 'Lavendelturm': bred by Ernst Pagels in Germany; pale purple, earlier & long-blooming

(All pollinators showed stronger preference for cultivar. Sold in U.S. as 'Lavender Towers')

See PowerPoint slide on pollinator profiles for pairs

Conclusion:

- Best strategy to use native plants
- But mixed results highlight need for cultivars to be evaluated on an individual basis
- More research should be conducted to quantify floral rewards for pollinators



Evaluation of Purple Coneflower & cultivars

Echinacea purpurea: seed-grown species

‘White Swan’: seed-grown white cultivar w/same form

‘Pink Double Delight’: sterile, cloned, pink double-flowered cultivar

‘Sunrise’: yellow hybrid bred for sterility to increase bloom period

Conclusion:

- Increased breeding of cultivars and hybrids decreases support to pollinators
- Traditional breeding done for traits humans find desirable
- Breeders should also introduce selections that maximize nectar & pollen production

Comparison of nectar production

- *Lobelia cardinalis*: high nectar production (5.47 μL) appropriate for hummingbird pollinators
- *Lobelia siphilitica*: lower nectar production (0.79 μL) appropriate for bumble bee pollinators
- *Lobelia x speciosa* ‘Fan Blue’: nectar production (0.89 μL) still appropriate for bumble bees
- *Lobelia x speciosa* ‘Fan Red’ lowest nectar (0.72 μL); hummingbirds will be attracted to red flower color, but they will be undernourished

Conclusion:

Hybrid modifications of flowers can have an unforeseen negative impact on floral resources for wildlife.



Mt. Cuba Center Research Reports on Plant Trials

Asters for the Mid-Atlantic Region (Trial 2002-2005)

- Measured plant performance but not benefit to pollinators
- Smooth Aster ‘Bluebird’ ranked 4.8 [Preferred over species in Penn State trial]
- Aromatic Aster ‘October Skies’ ranked 4.9 [Preferred over species in Penn State trial]
- New England Aster ‘Purple Dome’ (3.9) described as more manageable than species [Ranked well below species for pollinator visits in Penn State trial]

Coreopsis for the Mid-Atlantic Region (Trial 2012-2014)

- Cultivars of Tall Coreopsis (*C. tripteris*) ranked high (4.7), but too large for home garden
[*C. tripteris* ranked #6 of 10 on Penn State diversity list]
- ‘Zagreb’ cultivar of *Coreopsis verticillata* ranked above species (4.5 vs 4.4)
‘Zagreb’ ranked considerably above species in Penn State trial]
- Pollinator diversity study showed varied profiles of visitation for each plant (See slide)

Heuchera for the Mid-Atlantic Region (Trial 2012-2014)

- Studied hybrids derived from native Alumroot species
- Ratings for foliage and floral display, but no data on use by insects
- NOTE: Both *Heuchera americana* and *H. villosa* are larval host plants and provide nectar & pollen for small bees, including specialist bee

Baptisia for the Mid-Atlantic Region (Trial 2012-2015)

- Ratings based on floral displays with lush, sturdy foliage
- Mention of use as food source, but no comparative data
- ‘Twilite’ hybrid ranked high (4.6) above *B. australis* (3.7) and dwarf variant (4.0)
[Hybrid performed poorly against species for pollinator visits in Annie White trial]

Monarda for the Mid-Atlantic Region (Trial 2014-2016)

- Rated hybrids or selections of native Bee Balm and Wild Bergamot
- Focus on habit, mildew resistance, leaf retention & flower coverage
- Compact hybrids performed poorly



- ‘Claire Grace’ cultivar ranked above *Monarda fistulosa* species (4.5 vs 2.4) and ranked above hybrids for visitation (species not tested)
[Species preferred by pollinators in both Penn State & Annie White trials]
- ‘Jacob Cline’ cultivar ranked above *Monarda didyma* species (3.7 vs 1.7) and ranked significantly above hybrids and species for visitation
[Species was close for pollinator visitation in Penn State trials]
- *Monarda* x ‘Judith’s Fancy Fuchsia’ ranked 4.1 for abundant flowers & mildew resistance
[In top 20 for pollinator visits in Penn State trial]
- Native *Monarda punctata* (Spotted Beebalm) ranked 4.0 for abundant flowers & resistance

[Phlox for the Mid-Atlantic Region](#) (Trial 2015-2017)

Phlox for Sun

- Rated many cultivars and hybrids of native *Phlox paniculata*
- Focus on flowers, foliage quality, habit, powdery mildew resistance
- ‘Jeana’ cultivar ranked top (4.8) for garden performance & butterfly preference

Phlox for Shade

- Rated selections of native *Phlox divaricata* & *Phlox stolonifera*
- Focus on habit, vigor, and floral display
- Creeping Phlox easier to grow; powdery mildew a problem with Woodland Phlox
- No data on use by wildlife

[Helenium for the Mid-Atlantic](#) (Trial 2017-2019)

- Rated species, cultivars & hybrids of *Helenium autumnale* & *H. flexuosum*
- ‘Can Can’ cultivar rated above *H. autumnale* species (4.1 vs 3.9)
- *H. flexuosum* species rated 4.0
- *H. autumnale* best for attracting bees & wasps
[Top 10 for insect diversity & Top 20 for total visits in Penn State trial
Preferred over ‘Moerheim’ hybrid in Annie White trial]

[Echinacea for the Mid-Atlantic Region](#) (Trial 2018-2020)

- Repeat of earlier 2007-2009 trial with added pollinator study



- *Echinacea purpurea* ‘Fragrant Angel’ (4.4) and species (3.8) visited most by bees & wasps
- Important that plants retain cone with all reproductive parts to provide nectar & pollen

Carex for the Mid-Atlantic Region (Trial 2018-2022)

- Rated 65 *Carex* species & cultivars
- Evaluation of vigor, adaptability to sun & shade, and potential as lawn substitutes
- Wind-pollinated but offer seeds & cover and serve as larval host plants

Amsonia for the Mid-Atlantic Region (Trial 2013-2018, follow-up observation 2023)

- Rated 20 taxa, including species (some native to Southeast), cultivars, and hybrids
- Evaluated for habit, vigor, and floral display
- Observations of pollinator interaction April to June 2023
- Diversity of pollinators (butterflies, native bees, hummingbirds) but low total numbers
- Host plants for Snowberry Clearwing moth

OSU Garden Ecology Lab Study

- [“A Bee’s Eye View: UV photography and bee vision,”](#)
OSU blogpost, July 20, 2021
- [“2022 Field Update: Native plants & native cultivars,”](#)
OSU blogpost, December 26, 2022
- [“Exploring Color through the Eyes of Bees,”](#) Garden Ecology Lab Briefs

RESOURCES

- [Tried & True Native Plant Selections for the Mid-Atlantic](#) (Fact sheets on high-performing native plants)
- [Digital Atlas of the Virginia Flora](#) (For presence, by county, in the state)
- [Plant Virginia Natives](#) (See free downloadable online guides to individual regions)
- [Virginia Native Plant Society](#)
- [Plant NoVA Natives](#) (Native-only plant sellers)

